

Message

From: Havard, James [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=9350676809E8403994F1DFC2B7BFDCFE-JHAVARD]
Sent: 12/18/2018 5:18:22 PM
To: Wall, Tom [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=128011ac603c4d1a82301ada1bdfd733-Twall]
Subject: FW: OR

FYI, may have a path through. Please stay tuned.

From: Fullagar, Jill
Sent: Tuesday, December 18, 2018 12:17 PM
To: Glazer, Thomas <glazer.thomas@epa.gov>; Hunter, Christopher <Hunter.Christopher@epa.gov>; Cora, Lori <Cora.Lori@epa.gov>; Havard, James <Havard.James@epa.gov>
Cc: Croxton, David <Croxton.David@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: OR

Thanks Tom. I think that is a little more ambiguous and therefore better. Anyone else? Should I go ahead and change it?

And if so....are we done?????

Jill Fullagar, Impaired Waters Coordinator
Watershed Unit, Office of Water and Watersheds
US EPA, Region 10
1200 Sixth Avenue, Suite 155 (OWW-192)
Seattle, WA 98101-3140
(206) 553-2582, (206) 553-1280 (fax)
fullagar.jill@epa.gov

From: Glazer, Thomas
Sent: Tuesday, December 18, 2018 9:13 AM
To: Hunter, Christopher <Hunter.Christopher@epa.gov>; Fullagar, Jill <Fullagar.Jill@epa.gov>; Cora, Lori <Cora.Lori@epa.gov>; Havard, James <Havard.James@epa.gov>
Cc: Croxton, David <Croxton.David@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: OR

Thanks. I just want see if we can find a palatable and justifiable way to address the spirit of his comment. What about this?

Attorney Client / Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

Attorney Client / Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

Tom Glazer
USEPA Office of General Counsel

ED_002660K_00221616-00001

Water Law Office
7426N WJC North
(202) 564-0908

From: Hunter, Christopher
Sent: Tuesday, December 18, 2018 12:07 PM
To: Fullagar, Jill <Fullagar.Jill@epa.gov>; Glazer, Thomas <glazer.thomas@epa.gov>; Cora, Lori <Cora.Lori@epa.gov>; Havard, James <Havard.James@epa.gov>
Cc: Croxton, David <Croxton.David@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: OR

That was my read as well Jill. I didn't agree with the comment bubble proposal, but didn't have a strong reaction to the specific language inserted. However, based on what we've said in the past, I think Jill's edits would be the best bet.

Chris Hunter
202.566.1454
Watershed Branch, Office of Water
US Environmental Protection Agency

From: Fullagar, Jill
Sent: Tuesday, December 18, 2018 12:02 PM
To: Glazer, Thomas <glazer.thomas@epa.gov>; Cora, Lori <Cora.Lori@epa.gov>; Havard, James <Havard.James@epa.gov>; Hunter, Christopher <Hunter.Christopher@epa.gov>
Cc: Croxton, David <Croxton.David@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: OR

I think I am reacting more to what I take to be his comment embedded in your comment:

Attorney Client / Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

Attorney Client / Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

Jill Fullagar, Impaired Waters Coordinator
Watershed Unit, Office of Water and Watersheds
US EPA, Region 10
1200 Sixth Avenue, Suite 155 (OWW-192)
Seattle, WA 98101-3140
(206) 553-2582, (206) 553-1280 (fax)
fullagar.jill@epa.gov

From: Glazer, Thomas
Sent: Tuesday, December 18, 2018 8:51 AM
To: Fullagar, Jill <Fullagar.Jill@epa.gov>; Cora, Lori <Cora.Lori@epa.gov>; Havard, James <Havard.James@epa.gov>; Hunter, Christopher <Hunter.Christopher@epa.gov>
Cc: Croxton, David <Croxton.David@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: OR

Thanks. I'm curious what others think.

Attorney Client / Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

Attorney Client / Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

Is this worth a quick call?

Tom Glazer
USEPA Office of General Counsel
Water Law Office
7426N WJC North
(202) 564-0908

From: Fullagar, Jill
Sent: Tuesday, December 18, 2018 11:38 AM
To: Glazer, Thomas <glazer.thomas@epa.gov>; Cora, Lori <Cora.Lori@epa.gov>; Havard, James <Havard.James@epa.gov>; Hunter, Christopher <Hunter.Christopher@epa.gov>
Cc: Croxton, David <Croxton.David@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: OR

Personally, no, I'm not comfortable with that. That is absolutely contrary to what we said in our briefing papers. We said during the last list, when we looked at extrapolating from Tribal to state waters, it was not appropriate because of changes in riverine inputs, land use, etc. We said in the briefing papers for this list:

Attorney Client / Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

Attorney Client / Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

Jill Fullagar, Impaired Waters Coordinator
Watershed Unit, Office of Water and Watersheds
US EPA, Region 10
1200 Sixth Avenue, Suite 155 (OWW-192)
Seattle, WA 98101-3140
(206) 553-2582, (206) 553-1280 (fax)
fullagar.jill@epa.gov

From: Glazer, Thomas

Sent: Tuesday, December 18, 2018 6:47 AM

To: Fullagar, Jill <Fullagar.Jill@epa.gov>; Cora, Lori <Cora.Lori@epa.gov>; Havard, James <Havard.James@epa.gov>; Hunter, Christopher <Hunter.Christopher@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: OR

Fotouhi had one suggested addition. Can you take a look at my proposed changes in the attached documents and let me know what you think?

Thanks,
Tom

Tom Glazer
USEPA Office of General Counsel
Water Law Office
7426N WJC North
(202) 564-0908

From: Fullagar, Jill

Sent: Thursday, December 13, 2018 4:58 PM

To: Cora, Lori <Cora.Lori@epa.gov>; Glazer, Thomas <glazer.thomas@epa.gov>; Havard, James <Havard.James@epa.gov>; Hunter, Christopher <Hunter.Christopher@epa.gov>

Subject: OR

Hi all,

I just ran into John Goodin and he talked to Anna and was told that we are good to go from their end. She just wants OGC's ok on the OA rationale piece. She didn't need review of the rest, just the OA. So...Tom, if you can follow up on that end and let me know if you need anything else, I think we can get this puppy outta here 😊 Thanks.

jill